

Quantum antibrackets

P.M. Lavrov

TSPU, Russia

"Quantum Field Theory and Gravity", July 30-August 4, 2018,
Tomsk, Russia

Based on

I.A. Batalin, PML, Phys.Lett.B750 (2015) 324

I.A. Batalin, PML, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A31 (2016) 1650054

I.A. Batalin, PML, Eur.Phys.J.C77 (2017) 121

I.A. Batalin, PML, Mod.Phys.Lett.A33 (2018) 1850042

- Introduction
- Basics on non-polarized quantum antibrackets
- Polarization
- Parametrization
- Conclusions

Covariant quantization of general gauge theories - Batalin-Vilkovisky (BV) formalism (or field-antifield formalism) (I.A. Batalin, G.A. Vilkovisky, *Phys.Lett. B* (1981); *Phys.Rev.D* (1983))

Quantum master equation

$$\frac{1}{2}(W, W) = i\hbar\Delta W, \quad \Delta^2 = 0, \quad \Delta \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar}W\right\} = 0.$$

Ward identity for the effective action

$$(\Gamma, \Gamma) = 0.$$

Antibracket

$$(F, G) = F \left(\overleftarrow{\frac{\delta}{\delta\Phi^A}} \frac{\delta}{\delta\Phi_A^*} - \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\Phi_A^*} \frac{\delta}{\delta\Phi^A} \right) G, \quad \varepsilon(\Phi^A) = \varepsilon(\Phi_A^*) + 1 = \varepsilon_A.$$

Delta-operator

$$\Delta = (-1)^{\varepsilon_A} \frac{\delta}{\delta\Phi^A} \frac{\delta}{\delta\Phi_A^*}.$$

Basic properties of antibrackets:

Antisymmetry

$$(F, G) = -(G, F)(-1)^{(\varepsilon(F)+1)(\varepsilon(G)+1)}$$

Leibniz rule

$$(FG, H) = F(G, H) + (F, H)G(-1)^{\varepsilon(G)(\varepsilon(H)+1)}$$

Jacobi identity

$$(F, (G, H))(-1)^{(\varepsilon(F)+1)(\varepsilon(H)+1)} + \text{cycle}(F, G, H) = 0$$

The antibracket can be considered as an odd counterpart to the Poisson bracket.

Poisson bracket $\{ , \}$ \rightarrow Commutator $[,]$

Antibracket $(,)$ \rightarrow ?

The answer is "*Quantum antibrackets*", $(,)_Q$.

Quantum antibrackets in physical literature has been introduced by Batalin and Marnelius (I.A. Batalin, R. Marnelius, Phys.Lett.B. (1998)) and in mathematical literature known as derived brackets (Yv. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Lett.Math.Phys. (2004); Th. Voronov, J.Pure Appl. Algebra (2005); A.S. Cattaneo, F. Schatz, J.Pure Appl. Algebra (2008)).

Quantum 2-antibracket

$$(X, Y)_Q = \frac{1}{2}([X, [Q, Y]] - [Y, [Q, X]](-1)^{(\varepsilon(X)+1)(\varepsilon(Y)+1)}),$$
$$\frac{1}{2}[Q, Q] = Q^2 = 0, \quad [X, Y] = XY - YX(-1)^{\varepsilon(X)\varepsilon(Y)}.$$

General quantum antibrackets (I.A. Batalin, R. Marnelius, Theor.Math.Phys. (1999))

$$Q^2 \neq 0, \quad [Q^2, Q^2] = 0.$$

Basic properties of quantum antibrackets:

Antisymmetry

$$(X, Y)_Q = -(Y, X)_Q (-1)^{(\varepsilon(X)+1)(\varepsilon(Y)+1)}$$

Leibniz rule

$$\begin{aligned} (XY, Z)_Q - X(Y, Z)_Q - (X, Z)_Q Y & (-1)^{\varepsilon(Y)(\varepsilon(Z)+1)} = \\ & = \frac{1}{2} ([X, Z][Y, Q] (-1)^{\varepsilon(Z)(\varepsilon(Y)+1)} + [X, Q][Y, Z] (-1)^{\varepsilon(Y)}) \end{aligned}$$

Jacobi identity

$$\begin{aligned} (X, (Y, Z)_Q)_Q & (-1)^{(\varepsilon(X)+1)(\varepsilon(Z)+1)} + \text{cycle}(X, Y, Z) = \\ & = \frac{1}{2} [(X, Y, Z)_Q, Q] (-1)^{(\varepsilon(X)+1)(\varepsilon(Z)+1)} \\ (X, Y, Z)_Q & = -\frac{1}{3} ([X, (Y, Z)_Q] (-1)^{\varepsilon(X)(\varepsilon(Z)+1)+\varepsilon(Y)} + \\ & \quad + \text{cycle}(XYZ)) (-1)^{(\varepsilon(X)+1)(\varepsilon(Z)+1)} \end{aligned}$$

Relations with antibrackets:

Let $X = X(\Phi, \Phi^*)$, $Y = Y(\Phi, \Phi^*)$ be functions of the field-antifield variables and $Q = -\Delta$, where Δ is odd Laplacian of the BV method.

Then

$$[\Delta, Y] = (\Delta Y) + \text{ad}(Y)(-1)^{\varepsilon(Y)}, \quad \text{ad}(Y)X = (Y, X)$$

$$(X, Y)_Q = (X, Y)$$

Known applications of quantum antibrackets in theoretical physics:
BRST-invariant constraints (I.A. Batalin, I.V. Tyutin, *Theor.Math.Phys.*
(2004))

$$[T_\alpha, T_\beta] = U_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma T_\gamma$$

$$\mathcal{T}_\alpha = (i\hbar)^{-1}[Q, \bar{\mathcal{P}}_\alpha], \quad Q^2 = 0, \quad [C^\alpha, \bar{\mathcal{P}}_\beta] = i\hbar\delta_\beta^\alpha$$

$$Q = C^\alpha T_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}C^\beta C^\alpha U_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \bar{\mathcal{P}}_\gamma + \dots, \quad \mathcal{T}_\alpha = T_\alpha + C^\beta U_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \bar{\mathcal{P}}_\gamma + \dots$$

$$[Q, \mathcal{T}_\alpha] = 0, \quad (\mathcal{T}_\alpha, \mathcal{T}_\beta)_Q = 0$$

In group and quasigroup cases

$$Q = C^\alpha T_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}C^\beta C^\alpha U_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \bar{\mathcal{P}}_\gamma, \quad \mathcal{T}_\alpha = T_\alpha + C^\beta U_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \bar{\mathcal{P}}_\gamma$$

Representation of vector fields for arbitrary gauge algebra (I.A. Batalin, PML, Phys.Lett.B (2015))

$$A_\mu(x) = [\mathcal{A}_\mu(x), Q], \quad Q^2 = 0, \quad [A_\mu(x), Q] = 0$$

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu] = [Q, \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}]$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \mathcal{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\mu + (\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu)_Q$$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}([Q, \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}][Q, \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}])$$

$$\delta \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = (\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}, \Lambda)_Q$$

Heisenberg equation for superfield representation of BV-formalism (I.A. Batalin, PML, Eur.Phys.J.C. (2017))

Superfield Schroedinger equation

$$i\hbar D\Psi = Q\Psi, \quad D = \partial_\tau + \tau\partial_t, \quad Q = \Delta - F, \quad \Psi = \Psi(t, \tau, Z) \\ F = F(Z, P), \quad \Gamma = (Z^A, P_A), \quad [Z^A, P_B] = i\hbar\delta_B^A, \quad Z = (\Phi, \Phi^*)$$

$$i\hbar\partial_t\Psi = \mathcal{H}\Psi, \quad \mathcal{H} = (i\hbar)^{-1}([\Delta, F] - \frac{1}{2}[F, F]), \quad [\mathcal{H}, Q] = 0$$

$$\Psi(t, \tau, Z) = (1 + \tau(i\hbar)^{-1}Q)\Psi_0(t, Z)$$

$$[\Delta, \mathcal{H}] = 0 \rightarrow \Delta\Psi_0 = 0, \quad \Psi_0 = \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar}W\right\}$$

Superfield Heisenberg equation

$$i\hbar D\tilde{\Gamma} = [\tilde{Q}, \tilde{\Gamma}], \quad \tilde{\Gamma} = \tilde{\Gamma}(t, \tau)$$

$$(i\hbar)^2\partial_t\tilde{\Gamma} = -\frac{2}{3}(\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{Q})_{\tilde{Q}}$$

Let Q be a Fermion nilpotent operator,

$$Q : \varepsilon(Q) = 1, \quad Q^2 = 0,$$

and let B be an arbitrary Boson operator,

$$B : \varepsilon(B) = 0.$$

A quantum 2-antibracket,

$$(B, B)_Q =: -[B, [B, Q]].$$

A quantum 3-antibracket

$$(B, B, B)_Q =: -[B, [B, [B, Q]]],$$

and so on. Non-polarized form of quantum antibrackets has very simple representation. The main property

$$[Q, (B, B)_Q] = [[Q, B], [Q, B]].$$

Generating operator for non-polarized quantum antibrackets

$$\tilde{Q} = UQU^{-1}, \quad U = \exp\{\lambda B\}, \quad \tilde{Q}^2 = 0, \quad \tilde{Q}|_{\lambda=0} = Q$$

$$\partial_\lambda \tilde{Q} = [B, \tilde{Q}]$$

$$\partial_\lambda^2 \tilde{Q} = [B, [B, \tilde{Q}]], \quad \partial_\lambda^2 \tilde{Q}|_{\lambda=0} = -(B, B)_Q$$

$$\partial_\lambda^3 \tilde{Q} = [B, [B, [B, \tilde{Q}]]], \quad \partial_\lambda^3 \tilde{Q}|_{\lambda=0} = -(B, B, B)_Q$$

and so on.

The non-polarized Jacobi identity reads

$$6(B, (B, B)_Q)_Q = [(B, B, B)_Q, Q],$$

as an identity with respect to B .

The main property ($Q^2 = 0$)

$$[Q, (B, B)_Q] = [[Q, B], [Q, B]].$$

All higher non-polarized identities follow from two basic relations

$$6(B, (B, B)_{\tilde{Q}})_{\tilde{Q}} = [(B, B, B)_{\tilde{Q}}, \tilde{Q}],$$

$$[\tilde{Q}, (B, B)_{\tilde{Q}}] = [[\tilde{Q}, B], [\tilde{Q}, B]]$$

by differentiating with respect to λ and putting then $\lambda = 0$.

Indeed, from the nilpotency of \tilde{Q} ,

$$\tilde{Q}^2 = 0$$

we have

$$\partial_\lambda \tilde{Q}^2 = [[B, \tilde{Q}], \tilde{Q}] = 0$$

$$\partial_\lambda^2 \tilde{Q}^2 = -[(B, B)_{\tilde{Q}}, \tilde{Q}] + [[B, \tilde{Q}], [B, \tilde{Q}]] = 0$$

$$\partial_\lambda^3 \tilde{Q}^2 = \frac{1}{2}([(B, B, B)_{\tilde{Q}}, \tilde{Q}] - 6(B, (B, B)_{\tilde{Q}})_{\tilde{Q}}) = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\lambda^4 \tilde{Q}^2 &= -2((B, (B, B, B,))_{\tilde{Q}} + \frac{1}{4}[(B.B, B, B)_{\tilde{Q}}, \tilde{Q}] - \\ &\quad - 3[(B, B)_{\tilde{Q}}, (B, B)_{\tilde{Q}}]) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so on.

Principal question: Is non-polarized form of quantum antibracket algebra sufficient to reproduce all polarized relations? The answer is "Yes".

Let the Boson B be of the form

$$B = \alpha X + \beta Y + \gamma Z, \quad (\varepsilon(X) = \varepsilon(\alpha) \text{ and so on})$$

Then the polarized quantum 2- and 3-antibrackets

$$\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \frac{1}{2} (B, B)_Q (-1)^{\varepsilon(\beta)} = (X, Y)_Q.$$

$$\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \partial_\gamma \frac{1}{6} (B, B, B)_Q (-1)^{\varepsilon(\beta)} = (X, Y, Z)_Q.$$

The polarized version of the Jacobi relation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (X, (Y, Z)_Q)_Q (-1)^{(\varepsilon_X+1)(\varepsilon_Z+1)} + \text{cycle}(X, Y, Z) = \\
 & = \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \partial_\gamma (B, \frac{1}{2}(B, B)_Q)_Q (-1)^{(\varepsilon_X+1)(\varepsilon_Z+1)+\varepsilon_Y} = \\
 & = \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \partial_\gamma \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{6} [(B, B, B)_Q, Q] (-1)^{(\varepsilon_X+1)(\varepsilon_Z+1)+\varepsilon_Y} = \\
 & = \frac{1}{2} [(X, Y, Z)_Q, Q] (-1)^{(\varepsilon_X+1)(\varepsilon_Z+1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The generating equations for the quantum antibracket algebra.
Let us introduce an operator valued exponential

$$U = \exp\{\lambda^a f_a\}, \quad U|_{\lambda=0} = 1,$$

where $\{f_a, a = 1, 2, \dots\}$, is a chain of operators, $\varepsilon(f_a) = \varepsilon_a$, and λ^a are parameters, $\varepsilon(\lambda^a) = \varepsilon_a$.

Introduce the U -transformed Q -operator,

$$\tilde{Q} = UQU^{-1}, \quad \tilde{Q}^2 = 0.$$

Omitting details of calculations one can proof that the higher λ derivatives of \tilde{Q} do yield all higher quantum antibrackets,

$$-(\partial_{a_1} \cdots \partial_{a_n} \tilde{Q})(-1)^{E_n}|_{\lambda=0} = (f_{a_1}, \dots, f_{a_n})_Q,$$

$$E_n = \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \varepsilon_{a_{2k}},$$

- We have studied non-polarized form of quantum antibracket algebra and demonstrated their simple representation.
- We have constructed the generating operator for non-polarized quantum antibracket algebra. It reproduces all relations existing between quantum antibrackets.
- We have proved that polarized quantum antibracket algebra can be restored by applying polarization and parametrization procedures.

**THANK YOU
FOR ATTENTION!**