

# Deformed $\mathcal{N} = 8$ mechanics of $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ multiplets

Stepan Sidorov

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics (BLTP) JINR, Dubna, Russia

Quantum Field Theory and Gravity – QFTG'18

July 30 - August 4, 2018

TSPU, Tomsk, Russia

The talk is based on:

Evgeny Ivanov, Olaf Lechtenfeld, Stepan Sidorov, [arXiv:1807.xxxxx](#) [hep-th].

- 1  $SU(4|1)$  supersymmetric mechanics
  - Relation to matrix models
- 2 The multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ 
  - Chiral superspace description
  - $SU(2|1)$  superfield approach
  - Superconformal action
- 3 The multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ : “mirror” counterpart
  - $SU(2|1)$  superfield approach
- 4 Summary

## SU(4|1) supersymmetric mechanics

We consider the superalgebra  $su(4|1)$  as a deformation of the standard  $\mathcal{N} = 8$ ,  $d = 1$  superalgebra:

$$(\mathcal{N} = 8, d = 1) \Rightarrow su(4|1).$$

It is given by the following (anti)commutators:

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q^I, \bar{Q}_J\} &= 2m L_J^I + 2\delta_J^I \mathcal{H}, & [L_J^I, L_L^K] &= \delta_J^K L_L^I - \delta_L^I L_J^K, \\ [L_J^I, Q^K] &= \delta_J^K Q^I - \frac{1}{4} \delta_J^I Q^K, & [L_J^I, \bar{Q}_L] &= \frac{1}{4} \delta_J^I \bar{Q}_L - \delta_L^I \bar{Q}_J, \\ [\mathcal{H}, Q^K] &= -\frac{3m}{4} Q^K, & [\mathcal{H}, \bar{Q}_L] &= \frac{3m}{4} \bar{Q}_L. \end{aligned}$$

All other (anti)commutators are vanishing. Here, the superalgebra  $su(4|1)$  contains eight supercharges and  $SU(4) \times U(1)$  generators  $L_J^I$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$ . It can be viewed as a deformation of the standard  $\mathcal{N} = 8$ ,  $d = 1$  superalgebra.

SU(4|1),  $d = 1$  superspace

The SU(4|1),  $d = 1$  superspace is defined as the coset superspace

$$\frac{\text{SU}(4|1)}{\text{SU}(4)} \sim \frac{\{Q^I, \bar{Q}_J, L_J^I, \mathcal{H}\}}{\{L_J^I\}},$$

where its parameters define the superspace coordinates:

$$\zeta = \left\{ t, \theta_I, \bar{\theta}^J \right\}, \quad \overline{(\theta_I)} = \bar{\theta}^I.$$

Realization of the supergroup for the fermionic coset SU( $n$ |1)/U( $n$ ) was studied in E. Ivanov, L. Mezincescu, A. Pashnev, P.K. Townsend, [arXiv:hep-th/0301241](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0301241). Extending this realization by time coordinate, we obtain the odd transformations:

$$\delta\theta_I = \epsilon_I + 2m\bar{\epsilon}^K\theta_K\theta_I, \quad \delta\bar{\theta}^J = \bar{\epsilon}^J - 2m\epsilon_K\bar{\theta}^K\bar{\theta}^J, \quad \delta t = i\left(\bar{\epsilon}^K\theta_K + \epsilon_K\bar{\theta}^K\right).$$

Deformed  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supermultiplets

- Employing the appropriate worldline superfield approach  $SU(2|2)$ , we considered deformed analogs of  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supersymmetric quantum mechanics (Evgeny Ivanov, Olaf Lechtenfeld, Stepan Sidorov, [arXiv:1609.00490 \[hep-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.00490)). We studied models of  $SU(2|2)$  supersymmetric mechanics based on the off-shell multiplets  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{5})$ ,  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{4})$  and  $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{3})$ .

Deformed  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supermultiplets

- Employing the appropriate worldline superfield approach SU(2|2), we considered deformed analogs of  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supersymmetric quantum mechanics (Evgeny Ivanov, Olaf Lechtenfeld, Stepan Sidorov, arXiv:1609.00490 [hep-th]). We studied models of SU(2|2) supersymmetric mechanics based on the off-shell multiplets  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{5})$ ,  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{4})$  and  $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{3})$ .
- Other multiplets  $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8} - \mathbf{k})$ ,  $(\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8})$  of the standard  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  mechanics have no deformations to SU(2|2) mechanics. They have generalizations to SU(4|1) supersymmetric mechanics.

- According to S. Khodaei, F. Toppan, [arXiv:1208.3612 \[hep-th\]](#), the relevant superconformal transformations are realized on  $\mathcal{N} = 8$ ,  $d = 1$  multiplets as

- According to S. Khodae, F. Toppan, [arXiv:1208.3612 \[hep-th\]](#), the relevant superconformal transformations are realized on  $\mathcal{N} = 8$ ,  $d = 1$  multiplets as
  - $osp(8|2)$  on  $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8})$  and  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ ,



- According to [S. Khodaei, F. Toppan, arXiv:1208.3612 \[hep-th\]](#), the relevant superconformal transformations are realized on  $\mathcal{N} = 8$ ,  $d = 1$  multiplets as
  - $osp(8|2)$  on  $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8})$  and  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ ,
  - $F(4)$  on  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{7})$  and  $(\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1})$ ,

- According to [S. Khodaei, F. Toppan, arXiv:1208.3612 \[hep-th\]](#), the relevant superconformal transformations are realized on  $\mathcal{N} = 8$ ,  $d = 1$  multiplets as
  - $osp(8|2)$  on  $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8})$  and  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ ,
  - $F(4)$  on  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{7})$  and  $(\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1})$ ,
  - $su(4|1, 1)$  on  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{6})$  and  $(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{2})$ ,

- According to [S. Khodaei, F. Toppan, arXiv:1208.3612 \[hep-th\]](#), the relevant superconformal transformations are realized on  $\mathcal{N} = 8$ ,  $d = 1$  multiplets as
  - $osp(8|2)$  on  $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8})$  and  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ ,
  - $F(4)$  on  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{7})$  and  $(\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1})$ ,
  - $su(4|1, 1)$  on  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{6})$  and  $(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{2})$ ,
  - $osp(4^*|4)$  on  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{5})$  and  $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{3})$ .

- According to [S. Khodaei, F. Toppan, arXiv:1208.3612 \[hep-th\]](#), the relevant superconformal transformations are realized on  $\mathcal{N} = 8$ ,  $d = 1$  multiplets as
  - $osp(8|2)$  on  $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8})$  and  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ ,
  - $F(4)$  on  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{7})$  and  $(\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1})$ ,
  - $su(4|1, 1)$  on  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{6})$  and  $(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{2})$ ,
  - $osp(4^*|4)$  on  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{5})$  and  $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{3})$ .
- The multiplet  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{4})$  is exceptional: none of  $\mathcal{N} = 8$ ,  $d = 1$  superconformal symmetries can act on it. However, one can realize on it an  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  extended Heisenberg superalgebra given in [S. Bellucci, E. Ivanov, A. Sutulin, arXiv:hep-th/0504185](#). This extended superalgebra contains an  $su(2|2)$  superalgebra.

- According to [S. Khodaei, F. Toppan, arXiv:1208.3612 \[hep-th\]](#), the relevant superconformal transformations are realized on  $\mathcal{N} = 8$ ,  $d = 1$  multiplets as
  - $osp(8|2)$  on  $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8})$  and  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ ,
  - $F(4)$  on  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{7})$  and  $(\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1})$ ,
  - $su(4|1, 1)$  on  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{6})$  and  $(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{2})$ ,
  - $osp(4^*|4)$  on  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{5})$  and  $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{3})$ .
- The multiplet  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{4})$  is exceptional: none of  $\mathcal{N} = 8$ ,  $d = 1$  superconformal symmetries can act on it. However, one can realize on it an  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  extended Heisenberg superalgebra given in [S. Bellucci, E. Ivanov, A. Sutulin, arXiv:hep-th/0504185](#). This extended superalgebra contains an  $su(2|2)$  superalgebra.
- The superalgebra  $su(2|2)$  can be embedded only into the superconformal algebra  $osp(4^*|4)$ . Hence, the supergroup  $SU(2|2)$  admits an action only on the multiplets  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{5})$  and  $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{3})$ .

- According to [S. Khodae, F. Toppan, arXiv:1208.3612 \[hep-th\]](#), the relevant superconformal transformations are realized on  $\mathcal{N} = 8$ ,  $d = 1$  multiplets as
  - $osp(8|2)$  on  $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8})$  and  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ ,
  - $F(4)$  on  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{7})$  and  $(\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1})$ ,
  - $su(4|1, 1)$  on  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{6})$  and  $(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{2})$ ,
  - $osp(4^*|4)$  on  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{5})$  and  $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{3})$ .
- The multiplet  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{4})$  is exceptional: none of  $\mathcal{N} = 8$ ,  $d = 1$  superconformal symmetries can act on it. However, one can realize on it an  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  extended Heisenberg superalgebra given in [S. Bellucci, E. Ivanov, A. Sutulin, arXiv:hep-th/0504185](#). This extended superalgebra contains an  $su(2|2)$  superalgebra.
- The superalgebra  $su(2|2)$  can be embedded only into the superconformal algebra  $osp(4^*|4)$ . Hence, the supergroup  $SU(2|2)$  admits an action only on the multiplets  $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{5})$  and  $(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{3})$ .
- The superalgebra  $su(4|1)$  can be embedded into the rest of superconformal algebras  $osp(8|2)$ ,  $F(4)$ ,  $su(4|1, 1)$ . Thus, the multiplets  $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8})$ ,  $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{7})$ ,  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{6})$ ,  $(\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{2})$ ,  $(\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1})$  and  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  have generalizations to  $SU(4|1)$  supersymmetric mechanics.

## Relation to matrix models

- Berenstein, Maldacena and Nastase (BMN) proposed a matrix model associated with M-theory on pp-wave background [D. Berenstein, J. Maldacena, H. Nastase, arXiv:hep-th/0202021](#) with 16 supersymmetries corresponding to the mass-deformed world-line supersymmetry group  $SU(4|2)$ . Their (on-shell) multiplet is given by

$$\boxed{\left( y^{IJ}, v^{\alpha\beta}, \chi^{I\alpha}, \bar{\chi}_{I\alpha} \right), \quad y^{IJ} \equiv y^{[IJ]}, \quad v^{\alpha\beta} \equiv v^{(\alpha\beta)},$$

$$\overline{(y^{IJ})} = y_{IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{IJKL} y^{KL}, \quad \overline{(v^{\alpha\beta})} = v_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \overline{(\chi^{I\alpha})} = \bar{\chi}_{I\alpha},$$

The indices  $I = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and  $\alpha = 1, 2$  are indices of the subgroup  $SU(4) \times SU(2)$ , respectively.

## Relation to matrix models

- Berenstein, Maldacena and Nastase (BMN) proposed a matrix model associated with M-theory on pp-wave background [D. Berenstein, J. Maldacena, H. Nastase, arXiv:hep-th/0202021](#) with 16 supersymmetries corresponding to the mass-deformed world-line supersymmetry group SU(4|2). Their (on-shell) multiplet is given by

$$\boxed{\left( y^{IJ}, v^{\alpha\beta}, \chi^{I\alpha}, \bar{\chi}_{I\alpha} \right), \quad y^{IJ} \equiv y^{[IJ]}, \quad v^{\alpha\beta} \equiv v^{(\alpha\beta)},$$

$$\overline{(y^{IJ})} = y_{IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{IJKL} y^{KL}, \quad \overline{(v^{\alpha\beta})} = v_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \overline{(\chi^{I\alpha})} = \bar{\chi}_{I\alpha},$$

The indices  $I = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and  $\alpha = 1, 2$  are indices of the subgroup  $SU(4) \times SU(2)$ , respectively.

- It spurred investigations of massive matrix models of SQM with 8 supersymmetries corresponding to the groups SU(2|2), SU(4|1) and with 4 supersymmetries corresponding to the group SU(2|1).



## Relation to matrix models

- Our aim here is to consider  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  multiplets of the deformed supersymmetric mechanics with respect to the appropriate worldline realization of the supergroup SU(4|1):

$$\left( \phi, \bar{\phi}, y^{IJ}, \chi^I, \bar{\chi}_I \right), \quad \left( z^I, \bar{z}_I, \chi, \bar{\chi}, \chi^{IJ} \right).$$

## Relation to matrix models

- Our aim here is to consider  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  multiplets of the deformed supersymmetric mechanics with respect to the appropriate worldline realization of the supergroup SU(4|1):

$$\left( \phi, \bar{\phi}, y^{IJ}, \chi^I, \bar{\chi}_I \right), \quad \left( z^I, \bar{z}_I, \chi, \bar{\chi}, \chi^{IJ} \right).$$

- SU(4|1) supersymmetric model corresponding to the multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  were obtained from BMN matrix model in L. Motl, A. Neitzke, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, [arXiv:hep-th/0306051](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0306051).

The multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ 

The first version of the multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  is defined by the  $SU(4|1)$  covariant constraints

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{D}}_J \Phi &= 0, & \mathcal{D}^I \bar{\Phi} &= 0, & \bar{\mathcal{D}}_I \bar{\mathcal{D}}_J \bar{\Phi} &= \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{IJKL} \mathcal{D}^K \mathcal{D}^L \Phi, \\ \sqrt{2} \mathcal{D}^I Y^{JK} &= -\varepsilon^{IJKL} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_L \bar{\Phi}, & \sqrt{2} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_J Y_{KL} &= \varepsilon_{IJKL} \mathcal{D}^I \Phi, \\ \overline{(Y^{IJ})} &= Y_{IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{IJKL} Y^{KL}, & \overline{(\Phi)} &= \bar{\Phi},\end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi$  is a chiral superfield and  $Y^{IJ}$  is a superfield with antisymmetric  $SU(4)$  indices. Note that in the flat limit, when  $m \rightarrow 0$  and

$$D^I = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_I} - i\bar{\theta}^I \partial_t, \quad \bar{D}_J = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}^J} + i\theta_J \partial_t,$$

this set of constraints becomes the set of superfield constraints defining the standard  $\mathcal{N} = 8$ ,  $d = 1$  multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ , such that only  $SU(4) \subset SO(8)$  is manifest.

## Chiral superspace description

The supergroup  $SU(4|1)$  admits two mutually conjugated complex supercosets which can be identified with the left and right chiral subspaces:

$$\zeta_L = (t_L, \theta_I), \quad \zeta_R = (t_R, \bar{\theta}^J).$$

The left even coordinate  $t_L$  is related to the real time coordinate  $t$  via

$$t_L = t + \frac{i}{2m} \log \left( 1 + 2m \bar{\theta}^K \theta_K \right).$$

Then we obtain the left chiral space  $\zeta_L$  closed under the supersymmetry transformations

$$\delta \theta_I = \epsilon_I + 2m \bar{\epsilon}^K \theta_K \theta_I, \quad \delta t_L = 2i \bar{\epsilon}^K \theta_K.$$

The left chiral measure is defined as

$$d\zeta_L := dt_L d^4 \theta e^{-3im t_L}, \quad \delta(d\zeta_L) = 0,$$

$$\int d\zeta_L \theta_I \theta_J \theta_K \theta_L e^{3im t_L} = \varepsilon_{IJKL}.$$

## Chiral superfield

We consider the chiral superfield  $\Phi$  given by the general  $\theta$ -expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t_L, \theta_I) &= \phi + \sqrt{2} \theta_K \chi^K e^{3imt_L/4} + \theta_I \theta_J A^{IJ} e^{3imt_L/2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \theta_I \theta_J \theta_K \xi^{IJK} e^{9imt_L/4} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^{IJKL} \theta_I \theta_J \theta_K \theta_L B e^{3imt_L}, \quad A^{IJ} \equiv A^{[IJ]}, \quad \xi^{IJK} \equiv \xi^{[IJK]}. \end{aligned}$$

The superfield  $\Phi$  transforms as a singlet of the stability subgroup  $SU(4)$ , *i.e.*  $\delta\Phi = 0$ . Its components transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\phi &= -\sqrt{2} \epsilon_K \chi^K e^{3imt/4}, \\ \delta\chi^I &= \sqrt{2} \bar{\epsilon}^I (i\dot{\phi}) e^{-3imt/4} - \sqrt{2} \epsilon_K A^{IK} e^{3imt/4}, \\ \delta A^{IJ} &= 2\sqrt{2} \bar{\epsilon}^{[I} (i\dot{\chi}^{J]} + \frac{m}{4} \chi^{J]) e^{-3imt/4} - \sqrt{2} \epsilon_K \xi^{IJK} e^{3imt/4}, \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \delta\xi^{IJK} &= 2\bar{\epsilon}^{[K} (i\dot{A}^{IJ]} + \frac{m}{2} A^{IJ]) e^{-3imt/4} - \varepsilon^{IJKL} \epsilon_L B e^{3imt/4}, \\ \varepsilon^{IJKL} \delta B &= \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{3} \bar{\epsilon}^{[L} (i\dot{\xi}^{IJK]} + \frac{3m}{4} \xi^{IJK]) e^{-3imt/4}. \end{aligned}$$

## Additional constraints

As the next step, we give the rest of constraints in the component level requiring the field content to be  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ . Components of the chiral superfield  $\Phi$  are subjected to the additional constraints

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^{IJ} &= \sqrt{2} \left( i\dot{y}^{IJ} - \frac{m}{2} y^{IJ} \right), & \overline{(y^{IJ})} &= y_{IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{IJKL} y^{KL}, \\
 \xi^{IJK} &= -\varepsilon^{IJKL} \left( i\dot{\chi}_L - \frac{5m}{4} \bar{\chi}_L \right), & \overline{(\chi^I)} &= \bar{\chi}_I, \\
 B &= \frac{2}{3} \left( \ddot{\phi} + 2im\dot{\phi} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

It gives the following transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta\phi &= -\sqrt{2} \varepsilon_I \chi^I e^{3imt/4}, & \delta\bar{\phi} &= \sqrt{2} \bar{\varepsilon}^I \bar{\chi}_I e^{-3imt/4}, \\
 \delta y^{IJ} &= -2 \bar{\varepsilon}^{[I} \chi^{J]} e^{-3imt/4} + \varepsilon^{IJKL} \varepsilon_K \bar{\chi}_L e^{3imt/4}, \\
 \delta\chi^I &= \sqrt{2} \bar{\varepsilon}^I \left( i\dot{\phi} \right) e^{-3imt/4} - 2 \varepsilon_J \left( i\dot{y}^{IJ} - \frac{m}{2} y^{IJ} \right) e^{3imt/4}, \\
 \delta\bar{\chi}_I &= -\sqrt{2} \varepsilon_I \left( i\dot{\phi} \right) e^{3imt/4} + 2 \bar{\varepsilon}^J \left( i\dot{y}_{IJ} + \frac{m}{2} y_{IJ} \right) e^{-3imt/4}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The  $SU(4|1)$  invariant superfield action of the multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  is written as

$$S_{\text{SK}} = \int dt \mathcal{L}_{\text{SK}} = -\frac{1}{4} \left[ \int d\zeta_{\text{L}} K(\Phi) + \int d\zeta_{\text{R}} \bar{K}(\bar{\Phi}) \right].$$

Its component Lagrangian reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{SK}} = & g_1 \left[ \dot{\phi} \dot{\bar{\phi}} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{y}^{IJ} \dot{y}_{IJ} + \frac{i}{2} \left( \chi^K \dot{\bar{\chi}}_K - \dot{\chi}^K \bar{\chi}_K \right) - \frac{5m}{4} \chi^K \bar{\chi}_K - \frac{m^2}{8} y^{IJ} y_{IJ} \right] \\ & - \frac{im}{4} \left( \dot{\phi} \partial_{\phi} g_1 - \dot{\bar{\phi}} \partial_{\bar{\phi}} g_1 \right) y^{IJ} y_{IJ} + 2im \left( \dot{\phi} \partial_{\bar{\phi}} \bar{K} - \dot{\bar{\phi}} \partial_{\phi} K \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( i\dot{y}_{IJ} - \frac{m}{2} y_{IJ} \right) \chi^I \chi^J \partial_{\phi} g_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( i\dot{y}^{IJ} + \frac{m}{2} y^{IJ} \right) \bar{\chi}_I \bar{\chi}_J \partial_{\bar{\phi}} g_1 \\ & - \frac{i}{2} \left( \dot{\phi} \partial_{\phi} g_1 - \dot{\bar{\phi}} \partial_{\bar{\phi}} g_1 \right) \chi^K \bar{\chi}_K - \frac{1}{24} \varepsilon^{IJKL} \bar{\chi}_I \bar{\chi}_J \bar{\chi}_K \bar{\chi}_L \partial_{\bar{\phi}} \partial_{\bar{\phi}} g_1 \\ & - \frac{1}{24} \varepsilon_{IJKL} \chi^I \chi^J \chi^K \chi^L \partial_{\phi} \partial_{\phi} g_1. \end{aligned}$$

The complex fields  $\phi$  is corresponding coordinate of Special Kähler manifold with the metric

$$g_1(\phi, \bar{\phi}) = \partial_{\phi} \partial_{\bar{\phi}} K(\phi) + \partial_{\bar{\phi}} \partial_{\phi} \bar{K}(\bar{\phi}).$$

## Harmonic superspace description

- The multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  has a description also in terms of the harmonic superfield  $Y^{(+2)}$  defined on  $SU(4)/[SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)]$  type harmonic space (E. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, A.V. Nguyen, V. Ogievetsky, J. Phys. A **18** (1985) 3433).



## Harmonic superspace description

- The multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  has a description also in terms of the harmonic superfield  $Y^{(+2)}$  defined on  $SU(4)/[SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)]$  type harmonic space (E. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, A.V. Nguyen, V. Ogievetsky, J. Phys. A **18** (1985) 3433).
- The analytic superspace

$$\zeta_A = \left\{ t_A, \theta_a^{(+)}, \bar{\theta}^{(+i)}, u_a^{(+I)}, u_I^{(+i)}, u_I^{(-a)}, u_i^{(-I)} \right\}.$$

## Harmonic superspace description

- The multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  has a description also in terms of the harmonic superfield  $Y^{(+2)}$  defined on  $SU(4)/[SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)]$  type harmonic space (E. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, A.V. Nguyen, V. Ogievetsky, J. Phys. A **18** (1985) 3433).

- The analytic superspace

$$\zeta_A = \left\{ t_A, \theta_a^{(+)}, \bar{\theta}^{(+i)}, u_a^{(+I)}, u_I^{(+i)}, u_I^{(-a)}, u_i^{(-I)} \right\}.$$

- The unitarity and unimodularity conditions are written as

$$\begin{aligned} u_K^{(+i)} u_j^{(-K)} &= \delta_j^i, & u_K^{(-a)} u_b^{(+K)} &= \delta_b^a, & u_J^{(-a)} u_a^{(+I)} + u_J^{(+i)} u_i^{(-I)} &= \delta_J^I, \\ u_K^{(-a)} u_j^{(-K)} &= u_K^{(+i)} u_b^{(+K)} = 0, & \varepsilon^{IJKL} \varepsilon_{ij} u_K^{(+i)} u_L^{(+j)} + 2 \varepsilon^{ab} u_a^{(+I)} u_b^{(+J)} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

## Harmonic superspace description

- The multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  has a description also in terms of the harmonic superfield  $Y^{(+2)}$  defined on  $SU(4)/[SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)]$  type harmonic space (E. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, A.V. Nguyen, V. Ogievetsky, J. Phys. A **18** (1985) 3433).

- The analytic superspace

$$\zeta_A = \left\{ t_A, \theta_a^{(+)}, \bar{\theta}^{(+i)}, u_a^{(+I)}, u_I^{(+i)}, u_I^{(-a)}, u_i^{(-I)} \right\}.$$

- The unitarity and unimodularity conditions are written as

$$\begin{aligned} u_K^{(+i)} u_j^{(-K)} &= \delta_j^i, & u_K^{(-a)} u_b^{(+K)} &= \delta_b^a, & u_J^{(-a)} u_a^{(+I)} + u_J^{(+i)} u_i^{(-I)} &= \delta_J^I, \\ u_K^{(-a)} u_j^{(-K)} &= u_K^{(+i)} u_b^{(+K)} = 0, & \varepsilon^{IJKL} \varepsilon_{ij} u_K^{(+i)} u_L^{(+j)} + 2 \varepsilon^{ab} u_a^{(+I)} u_b^{(+J)} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

- The relevant analytic harmonic superfield is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_a^{(+2)i} Y^{(+2)} = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_j^i Y^{(+2)} = \mathcal{D}_b^a Y^{(+2)} = 0.$$

The rest of constraints can be given by requiring the field content to be  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  at the component level.

## SU(2|1) superfield approach

- For more general construction of SU(4|1) invariant actions, it is convenient to employ SU(2|1) superfield approach. So, we split the multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  into SU(2|1) multiplets as a sum of the ordinary multiplet  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$  and the “mirror” multiplet  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$  (E. Ivanov, S. Sidorov, [arXiv:1507.00987 \[hep-th\]](#)).

## SU(2|1) superfield approach

- For more general construction of SU(4|1) invariant actions, it is convenient to employ SU(2|1) superfield approach. So, we split the multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  into SU(2|1) multiplets as a sum of the ordinary multiplet  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$  and the “mirror” multiplet  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$  (E. Ivanov, S. Sidorov, [arXiv:1507.00987 \[hep-th\]](#)).
- One can consider reducing of the SU(4|1) superspace to the SU(2|1) one. The SU(2|1) superspace coordinates as

$$\left\{ t, \theta_i, \bar{\theta}^i \right\}, \quad \overline{(\theta_i)} = \bar{\theta}^i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

## SU(2|1) superfield approach

- For more general construction of SU(4|1) invariant actions, it is convenient to employ SU(2|1) superfield approach. So, we split the multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  into SU(2|1) multiplets as a sum of the ordinary multiplet  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$  and the “mirror” multiplet  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$  (E. Ivanov, S. Sidorov, [arXiv:1507.00987](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.00987) [hep-th]).
- One can consider reducing of the SU(4|1) superspace to the SU(2|1) one. The SU(2|1) superspace coordinates as

$$\left\{ t, \theta_i, \bar{\theta}^i \right\}, \quad \overline{(\theta_i)} = \bar{\theta}^i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

- Choosing  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$  transformations, we obtain the SU(2|1) supersymmetric transformations:

$$\delta\theta_i = \epsilon_i + 2m \bar{\epsilon}^k \theta_k \theta_i, \quad \delta\bar{\theta}^j = \bar{\epsilon}^j - 2m \epsilon_k \bar{\theta}^k \bar{\theta}^j, \quad \delta t = i \left( \bar{\epsilon}^k \theta_k + \epsilon_k \bar{\theta}^k \right).$$

## Subalgebra

The superalgebra  $su(4|1)$  contains as subalgebra the extended  $su(2|1) \oplus u(1)$  superalgebra:

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q^i, \bar{Q}_j\} &= 2m I_j^i + m \delta_j^i F + 2\delta_j^i \mathcal{H}, & [I_j^i, I_l^k] &= \delta_j^k I_l^i - \delta_l^i I_j^k, \\ [I_j^i, Q^k] &= \delta_k^i Q^j - \frac{1}{2} \delta_j^i Q^k, & [I_j^i, \bar{Q}_l] &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_j^i \bar{Q}_l - \delta_l^i \bar{Q}_j, \\ [\mathcal{H}, Q^k] &= -\frac{3m}{4} Q^k, & [\mathcal{H}, \bar{Q}_l] &= \frac{3m}{4} \bar{Q}_l, \\ [F, Q^k] &= \frac{1}{2} Q^k, & [F, \bar{Q}_l] &= -\frac{1}{2} \bar{Q}_l. \end{aligned}$$

Here, SU(2) generators of  $su(2|1)$  are defined as

$$I_j^i = L_j^i - \frac{1}{2} \delta_j^i F,$$

an internal U(1) generator of  $su(2|1)$  by the combination

$$\tilde{H} = \mathcal{H} + \frac{m}{2} F,$$

where  $F$  is an external U(1) generator.

Splitting  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0}) \oplus (\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$ 

- The ordinary multiplet  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$  is described the superfield  $q^{ia}$  that obeys the SU(2|1) covariant constraints

$$\mathcal{D}^{(k} q^{i)a} = \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{(k} q^{i)a} = 0, \quad \tilde{F} q^{ia} = 0, \quad \overline{(q^{ia})} = q_{ia}.$$

Here,  $\mathcal{D}^k$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{D}}^k$  are SU(2|1) covariant derivatives. The indices  $i = 1, 2$  and  $a = 1, 2$  correspond to the fundamental indices of the subgroup  $SU(2) \times SU(2) \subset SU(4)$ , respectively.



## Splitting $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0}) \oplus (\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$

- The ordinary multiplet  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$  is described the superfield  $q^{ia}$  that obeys the SU(2|1) covariant constraints

$$\mathcal{D}^{(k} q^{i)a} = \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{(k} q^{i)a} = 0, \quad \tilde{F} q^{ia} = 0, \quad \overline{(q^{ia})} = q_{ia}.$$

Here,  $\mathcal{D}^k$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{D}}^k$  are SU(2|1) covariant derivatives. The indices  $i = 1, 2$  and  $a = 1, 2$  correspond to the fundamental indices of the subgroup  $SU(2) \times SU(2) \subset SU(4)$ , respectively.

- Corresponding SU(2|1) constraints defining the “mirror”  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$  multiplet are written as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{D}}^i Z = \bar{\mathcal{D}}^i Y = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}^i \bar{Z} = \mathcal{D}^i \bar{Y} = 0, \\ \mathcal{D}^i Z = -\bar{\mathcal{D}}^i \bar{Y}, \quad \mathcal{D}^i Y = \bar{\mathcal{D}}^i \bar{Z}, \quad \tilde{F} Z = 0, \quad \tilde{F} Y = Y. \end{aligned}$$

## SU(2|1) superfield approach

Alternatively, we can employ the construction of SU(4|1) invariant actions in the framework of the SU(2|1) superfields  $q^{ia}$ ,  $Y$ ,  $Z$ . The general SU(2|1) superfield action is given by

$$S = \int dt d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \left(1 + 2m \bar{\theta}^k \theta_k\right) f \left(Z, \bar{Z}, Y\bar{Y}, q^{ia} q_{ia}\right).$$

The metric  $g$  of target space is defined according to [E. Ivanov, O. Lechtenfeld, A. Sutin, arXiv:0705.3064 \[hep-th\]](#) as

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \Delta_2 f = -\Delta_1 f, & f &= f(z, \bar{z}, y\bar{y}, x^{ia} x_{ia}), & g &= g(z, \bar{z}, y\bar{y}, x^{ia} x_{ia}), \\ \Delta_1 f + \Delta_2 f &= 0 & \Rightarrow & \Delta_1 g + \Delta_2 g = 0, \\ \Delta_1 &= \varepsilon^{ik} \varepsilon^{ab} \partial_{ia} \partial_{kb}, & \Delta_2 &= 2(\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_y \partial_{\bar{y}}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\boxed{\text{SU(4) and SU(2|1) transformations are closed on SU(4|1) transformations}}$ , we require SU(4) invariance of the corresponding component action. Then we obtain the equation

$$\boxed{m \left( \bar{y}g + 2\partial_y f + x^{ia} \partial_{ia} \partial_y f \right) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad m (x_{ia} \partial_y - \bar{y} \partial_{ia}) g = 0, \quad \text{c.c.}}$$

## Solutions

The equation gives three solutions:

- 1) Special Kähler manifold metric (Chiral superfield solution)

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{2} [\bar{z} \partial_z K(z) + z \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{K}(\bar{z})] - \left( \frac{x^{ia} x_{ia}}{16} + \frac{y\bar{y}}{4} \right) [\partial_z \partial_z K(z) + \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{K}(\bar{z})],$$

$$g_1 = \frac{1}{2} [\partial_z \partial_z K(z) + \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{K}(\bar{z})] \implies g_1 = \partial_\phi \partial_\phi K(\phi) + \partial_{\bar{\phi}} \partial_{\bar{\phi}} \bar{K}(\bar{\phi}).$$

## Solutions

The equation gives three solutions:

- 1) Special Kähler manifold metric (Chiral superfield solution)

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{2} [\bar{z} \partial_z K(z) + z \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{K}(\bar{z})] - \left( \frac{x^{ia} x_{ia}}{16} + \frac{y\bar{y}}{4} \right) [\partial_z \partial_z K(z) + \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{K}(\bar{z})],$$

$$g_1 = \frac{1}{2} [\partial_z \partial_z K(z) + \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{K}(\bar{z})] \implies g_1 = \partial_\phi \partial_\phi K(\phi) + \partial_{\bar{\phi}} \partial_{\bar{\phi}} \bar{K}(\bar{\phi}).$$

- 2) SO(6)-invariant metric (Harmonic superfield solution)

$$f_2 = \frac{1}{4} (x^{ia} x_{ia})^{-1} \log(2y\bar{y} + x^{ia} x_{ia}),$$

$$g_2 = (2y\bar{y} + x^{ia} x_{ia})^{-2} \implies g_2 = \left[ \frac{1}{2} y^{IJ} y_{IJ} \right]^{-2}.$$

## Solutions

The equation gives three solutions:

- 1) Special Kähler manifold metric (Chiral superfield solution)

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{2} [\bar{z} \partial_z K(z) + z \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{K}(\bar{z})] - \left( \frac{x^{ia} x_{ia}}{16} + \frac{y\bar{y}}{4} \right) [\partial_z \partial_z K(z) + \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{K}(\bar{z})],$$

$$g_1 = \frac{1}{2} [\partial_z \partial_z K(z) + \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} \bar{K}(\bar{z})] \implies g_1 = \partial_\phi \partial_\phi K(\phi) + \partial_{\bar{\phi}} \partial_{\bar{\phi}} \bar{K}(\bar{\phi}).$$

- 2) SO(6)-invariant metric (Harmonic superfield solution)

$$f_2 = \frac{1}{4} (x^{ia} x_{ia})^{-1} \log(2y\bar{y} + x^{ia} x_{ia}),$$

$$g_2 = (2y\bar{y} + x^{ia} x_{ia})^{-2} \implies g_2 = \left[ \frac{1}{2} y^{IJ} y_{IJ} \right]^{-2}.$$

- 3) SO(8)-invariant metric (OSp(8|2) superconformal solution)

$$f_3 = -\frac{1}{8} (x^{ia} x_{ia})^{-1} (2z\bar{z} + 2y\bar{y} + x^{ia} x_{ia})^{-1},$$

$$g_3 = (2z\bar{z} + 2y\bar{y} + x^{ia} x_{ia})^{-3} \implies g_3 = \left[ \phi \bar{\phi} + \frac{1}{2} y^{IJ} y_{IJ} \right]^{-3}.$$

## OSp(8|2) superconformal Lagrangian

OSp(8|2) superconformal Lagrangian of the trigonometric type contains only  $m^2$  deformed terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{conf}} = & g_3 \left[ \dot{\phi}\dot{\bar{\phi}} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{y}^{IJ} \dot{y}_{IJ} + \frac{i}{2} \left( \chi^K \dot{\bar{\chi}}_K - \dot{\chi}^K \bar{\chi}_K \right) - \frac{m^2}{4} \left( \phi\bar{\phi} + \frac{1}{2} y^{IJ} y_{IJ} \right) \right] \\
& - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \dot{\phi} \partial_{IJ} g_3 \chi^I \chi^J - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \dot{\phi} \partial^{IJ} g_3 \bar{\chi}_I \bar{\chi}_J + i \left( \dot{y}_{IK} \partial^{JK} g_3 - \dot{y}^{JK} \partial_{IK} g \right) \chi^I \bar{\chi}_J \\
& + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \dot{y}_{IJ} \chi^I \chi^J \partial_\phi g_3 + \dot{y}^{IJ} \bar{\chi}_I \bar{\chi}_J \partial_{\bar{\phi}} g_3 \right) - \frac{i}{2} \left( \dot{\phi} \partial_\phi g_3 - \dot{\bar{\phi}} \partial_{\bar{\phi}} g_3 \right) \chi^K \bar{\chi}_K \\
& - \frac{1}{24} \left( \varepsilon_{IJKL} \chi^I \chi^J \chi^K \chi^L \partial_\phi \partial_\phi g_3 + \varepsilon^{IJKL} \bar{\chi}_I \bar{\chi}_J \bar{\chi}_K \bar{\chi}_L \partial_{\bar{\phi}} \partial_{\bar{\phi}} g_3 \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \chi^I \chi^J \partial_{IJ} \partial_\phi g_3 + \bar{\chi}_I \bar{\chi}_J \partial^{IJ} \partial_{\bar{\phi}} g_3 \right) \chi^K \bar{\chi}_K \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{IJ} \partial^{KL} g_3 \chi^I \chi^J \bar{\chi}_K \bar{\chi}_L + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\phi \partial_{\bar{\phi}} g_3 \chi^I \bar{\chi}_I \chi^J \bar{\chi}_J .
\end{aligned}$$

We have eliminated all deformed terms proportional to  $m$  in Lagrangian of the third solution by redefining the component fields as

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi &\rightarrow \phi e^{-imt/2}, & \chi^I &\rightarrow \chi^I e^{-imt/4}, \\
\bar{\phi} &\rightarrow \bar{\phi} e^{imt/2}, & \bar{\chi}_I &\rightarrow \bar{\chi}_I e^{imt/4}.
\end{aligned}$$

## Superconformal transformations

Since this Lagrangian is an even function of  $m$ , it is invariant under two types of  $SU(4|1)$  transformations with the deformation parameters  $m$  and  $-m$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 m \quad & \delta\phi = -\sqrt{2}\epsilon_I \chi^I e^{imt}, \quad \delta\bar{\phi} = \sqrt{2}\bar{\epsilon}^I \bar{\chi}_I e^{-imt}, \\
 & \delta y^{IJ} = -2\bar{\epsilon}^{[I} \chi^{J]} e^{-imt} + \epsilon^{IJKL} \epsilon_K \bar{\chi}_L e^{imt}, \\
 & \delta\chi^I = \sqrt{2}\bar{\epsilon}^I \left( i\dot{\phi} + \frac{m}{2}\phi \right) e^{-imt} - 2\epsilon_J \left( iy^{IJ} - \frac{m}{2}y^{IJ} \right) e^{imt}, \\
 & \delta\bar{\chi}_I = -\sqrt{2}\epsilon_I \left( i\dot{\bar{\phi}} - \frac{m}{2}\bar{\phi} \right) e^{imt} + 2\bar{\epsilon}^J \left( iy_{IJ} + \frac{m}{2}y_{IJ} \right) e^{-imt},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 -m \quad & \delta\phi = -\sqrt{2}\eta_I \chi^I e^{-imt}, \quad \delta\bar{\phi} = \sqrt{2}\bar{\eta}^I \bar{\chi}_I e^{imt}, \\
 & \delta y^{IJ} = -2\bar{\eta}^{[I} \chi^{J]} e^{imt} + \epsilon^{IJKL} \eta_K \bar{\chi}_L e^{-imt}, \\
 & \delta\chi^I = \sqrt{2}\bar{\eta}^I \left( i\dot{\phi} - \frac{m}{2}\phi \right) e^{imt} - 2\eta_J \left( iy^{IJ} + \frac{m}{2}y^{IJ} \right) e^{-imt}, \\
 & \delta\bar{\chi}_I = -\sqrt{2}\eta_I \left( i\dot{\bar{\phi}} + \frac{m}{2}\bar{\phi} \right) e^{-imt} + 2\bar{\eta}^J \left( iy_{IJ} - \frac{m}{2}y_{IJ} \right) e^{imt}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the closure of these transformations, we obtain superconformal algebra  $osp(8|2)$  composed of 16 supercharges and 31 bosonic generators. This property with respect to the deformed  $su(2|1)$  and superconformal  $d(2, 1; \alpha)$  algebras was marked in [E. Ivanov, S. Sidorov, F. Toppan, arXiv:1501.05622 \[hep-th\]](#).

The multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ : “mirror” counterpart

- The mirror version of the multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  is described by a complex bosonic superfield  $V^I$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^I V^J &= \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{IJKL} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_K \bar{V}_L, & \mathcal{D}^{(I} V^{J)} &= 0, & \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{(K} \bar{V}_{L)} &= 0, \\ \mathcal{D}^I \bar{V}_J &= \frac{1}{4} \delta_J^I \mathcal{D}^K \bar{V}_K & \bar{\mathcal{D}}_J V^I &= \frac{1}{4} \delta_J^I \bar{\mathcal{D}}_K V^K & \overline{(V^I)} &= \bar{V}_I. \end{aligned}$$

In the flat limit  $m \rightarrow 0$ , these constraints correspond to the  $SU(4)$  covariant constraints defining another form of the standard  $\mathcal{N} = 8$ ,  $d = 1$  multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ .



The multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ : “mirror” counterpart

- The mirror version of the multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  is described by a complex bosonic superfield  $V^I$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^I V^J &= \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{IJKL} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_K \bar{V}_L, & \mathcal{D}^{(I} V^{J)} &= 0, & \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{(K} \bar{V}_{L)} &= 0, \\ \mathcal{D}^I \bar{V}_J &= \frac{1}{4} \delta_J^I \mathcal{D}^K \bar{V}_K & \bar{\mathcal{D}}_J V^I &= \frac{1}{4} \delta_J^I \bar{\mathcal{D}}_K V^K & \overline{(V^I)} &= \bar{V}_I. \end{aligned}$$

In the flat limit  $m \rightarrow 0$ , these constraints correspond to the  $SU(4)$  covariant constraints defining another form of the standard  $\mathcal{N} = 8$ ,  $d = 1$  multiplet  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ .

- Avoiding calculation of the deformed covariant derivatives  $\mathcal{D}^I$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_J$ , we consider instead harmonization of these constraints corresponding to the harmonic space  $SU(4)/[SU(3) \times U(1)]$  (E. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, A.V. Nguyen, V. Ogievetsky, J. Phys. A **18** (1985) 3433) with additional constraints given in the component level. The relevant harmonic superfield  $\bar{V}^{(+3)}$  is defined on the analytic harmonic subspace

$$\left\{ t_A, \theta^{(+3)}, \bar{\theta}^{(+)\alpha}, u_I^{(+)\alpha}, u^{(+3)I}, u_\beta^{(-)I}, u_I^{(-3)} \right\}.$$

The superfield  $\bar{V}^{(+3)}$  satisfies the harmonic constraints

$$\mathcal{D}^{(+4)\alpha}\bar{V}^{(+3)} = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_\beta^\alpha\bar{V}^{(+3)} = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}^0\bar{V}^{(+3)} = 3\bar{V}^{(+3)}.$$

Here,  $\bar{V}^{(+3)}$  is considered as an unconstrained deformed harmonic superfield. The rest of constraints can be given in the component level requiring the field content to be  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$ . Skipping details, the deformed transformations are written as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta z^I &= 2\epsilon_K \chi^{IK} e^{3imt/4} + \sqrt{2}\bar{\epsilon}^I \bar{\chi} e^{-3imt/4}, \\ \delta \bar{z}_J &= -2\bar{\epsilon}^K \chi_{JK} e^{-3imt/4} - \sqrt{2}\epsilon_J \chi e^{3imt/4}, \\ \delta \chi &= \sqrt{2}\bar{\epsilon}^K \left( i\dot{z}_K + \frac{3m}{4} \bar{z}_K \right) e^{-3imt/4}, \\ \delta \bar{\chi} &= -\sqrt{2}\epsilon_K \left( i\dot{z}^K - \frac{3m}{4} z^K \right) e^{3imt/4}, \\ \delta \chi^{IJ} &= 2\bar{\epsilon}^{[I} \left( i\dot{z}^{J]} + \frac{m}{4} z^{J]} \right) e^{-3imt/4} - \varepsilon^{IJKL} \epsilon_K \left( i\dot{z}_L - \frac{m}{4} \bar{z}_L \right) e^{3imt/4}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\overline{(z^I)} = \bar{z}_I, \quad \overline{(\chi)} = \bar{\chi}, \quad \overline{(\chi^{IJ})} = \chi_{IJ} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{IJKL} \chi^{KL}.$$

## SU(2|1) superfields

- Again, we split the given multiplet into SU(2|1) multiplets as  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0}) \oplus (\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$ . The first multiplet is described by the superfield  $q^{iA}$  satisfying the SU(2|1) covariant constraints

$$\mathcal{D}^{(k} q^{i)A} = 0, \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{(k} q^{i)A} = 0, \quad \tilde{F} q^{iA} = -\frac{1}{2} (\sigma_3)_B^A q^{iB}, \quad \overline{(q^{iA})} = q_{iA}.$$

## SU(2|1) superfields

- Again, we split the given multiplet into SU(2|1) multiplets as  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0}) \oplus (\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$ . The first multiplet is described by the superfield  $q^{iA}$  satisfying the SU(2|1) covariant constraints

$$\mathcal{D}^{(k} q^{i)A} = 0, \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{(k} q^{i)A} = 0, \quad \tilde{F} q^{iA} = -\frac{1}{2} (\sigma_3)_B^A q^{iB}, \quad \overline{(q^{iA})} = q_{iA}.$$

- SU(2|1) constraints defining the mirror  $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{0})$  multiplet are written as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{D}}^i Y^a = \mathcal{D}^i \bar{Y}^a = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}^i Y^a = \bar{\mathcal{D}}^i \bar{Y}^a, \\ \tilde{F} Y^a = \frac{1}{2} Y^a, \quad \tilde{F} \bar{Y}^a = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{Y}^a, \quad \overline{(Y^a)} = \bar{Y}_a. \end{aligned}$$

## Invariant action

The general SU(2|1) invariant action is written as

$$S = \int dt \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \int dt d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \left(1 + 2m \bar{\theta}^k \theta_k\right) f \left(Y^a \bar{Y}_a, q^{iA} q_{iA}\right),$$

where the target metric  $G$  is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_y &= -2 \varepsilon^{ab} \partial_a \bar{\partial}_b, & \Delta_x &= \varepsilon^{ij} \varepsilon^{AB} \partial_{iA} \partial_{jB}, \\ G := \Delta_y f &= -\Delta_x f & \Rightarrow & (\Delta_y + \Delta_x) G = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Then we require SU(4) invariance of this action that gives the following conditions:

$$m \left(2\partial_a f + \bar{y}_a G + x^{iA} \partial_{iA} \partial_a f\right) = 0 \Rightarrow m \left(\bar{y}_a \partial_{iA} - x_{iA} \partial_a\right) G = 0, \quad \text{c.c.}$$

The only solution of these equations is given by

$$f = \frac{1}{4} (y^a \bar{y}_a)^{-1} \left(y^a \bar{y}_a + \frac{1}{2} x^{iA} x_{iA}\right)^{-1} \Rightarrow G = \left(y^a \bar{y}_a + \frac{1}{2} x^{iA} x_{iA}\right)^{-3}.$$

The metric is SO(8)-invariant that corresponds to OSp(8|2) superconformal solution. Indeed, this solution gives the same OSp(8|2) superconformal Lagrangian and superconformal transformations are equivalent for both multiplets.

# Summary

- We have shown the existence of two non-equivalent “root” multiplets  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  of the deformed  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supersymmetry associated with the supergroup  $SU(4|1)$ .

## Summary

- We have shown the existence of two non-equivalent “root” multiplets  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  of the deformed  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supersymmetry associated with the supergroup  $SU(4|1)$ .
- We presented their various world-line superfield and component descriptions, as well as the corresponding invariant actions.

# Summary

- We have shown the existence of two non-equivalent “root” multiplets  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  of the deformed  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supersymmetry associated with the supergroup  $SU(4|1)$ .
- We presented their various world-line superfield and component descriptions, as well as the corresponding invariant actions.
- $SU(2|1)$  superfield approach provides a general construction of  $SU(4|1)$  invariant actions.



## Summary

- We have shown the existence of two non-equivalent “root” multiplets  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  of the deformed  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supersymmetry associated with the supergroup  $SU(4|1)$ .
- We presented their various world-line superfield and component descriptions, as well as the corresponding invariant actions.
- $SU(2|1)$  superfield approach provides a general construction of  $SU(4|1)$  invariant actions.
- Some of these actions are shown to enjoy the superconformal symmetry  $OSp(8|2)$ .

## Summary

- We have shown the existence of two non-equivalent “root” multiplets  $(\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{0})$  of the deformed  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supersymmetry associated with the supergroup  $SU(4|1)$ .
- We presented their various world-line superfield and component descriptions, as well as the corresponding invariant actions.
- $SU(2|1)$  superfield approach provides a general construction of  $SU(4|1)$  invariant actions.
- Some of these actions are shown to enjoy the superconformal symmetry  $OSp(8|2)$ .

Thank you for your attention!