

On massive super(bi)gravity in the constructive approach

Yu. M. Zinoviev

Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

01.08.2018

Outlook

- 1 Constructive approach
- 2 Frame-like gauge invariant formalism
- 3 Cubic vertices
 - Massive spin-2 and massive spin-3/2
 - Massive spin-2 and massive and massless spin-3/2
 - Massive spin-2 and two massive spin-3/2

Construction of the cubic vertices

- We follow the so-called constructive approach

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + g\mathcal{L}_1 + \dots, \quad \delta\Phi = \delta_0\Phi + g\delta_1\Phi + \mathcal{O}(g^2)$$

where \mathcal{L}_0 is a free (quadratic) Lagrangian, \mathcal{L}_1 contains cubic terms and so on, while δ_0 — initial (non-homogeneous) gauge transformations, δ_1 — linear in fields and so on.

- For the cubic level in the frame-like formalism one has to solve

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_1}{\delta\Phi}\delta_0\Phi + \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_1}{\delta\Omega}\delta_0\Omega + \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_0}{\delta\Phi}\delta_1\Phi + \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_0}{\delta\Omega}\delta_1\Omega = 0$$

- We use a modified 1 and 1/2 order formalism:

$$\left[\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_1}{\delta\Phi}\delta_0\Phi + \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_1}{\delta\Omega}\delta_0\Omega + \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_0}{\delta\Phi}\delta_1\Phi \right]_{\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_0}{\delta\Omega}=0} = 0$$

Notations

- All fields are one-forms (gauge fields) or zero-forms (Stueckelberg fields) with some set of the local indices
- Coordinate-free description of $d = 4$ Minkowski space uses background frame e^a , its inverse \hat{e}_a and covariant derivative D such that

$$D \wedge D = 0, \quad D \wedge e^a = 0$$

- We use the following notations for the products of frames:

$$E^{ab} = e^a \wedge e^b, \quad E^{abc} = e^a \wedge e^b \wedge e^c, \quad E^{abcd} = e^a \wedge e^b \wedge e^c \wedge e^d$$

and similarly for \hat{e}_a

- We use Majorana representation for γ -matrices and define

$$\Gamma^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{[a} \gamma^{b]}, \quad \Gamma^{abc} = \frac{1}{3!} \gamma^{[a} \gamma^b \gamma^{c]}, \quad \Gamma^{abcd} = \frac{1}{4!} \gamma^{[a} \gamma^b \gamma^c \gamma^{d]}$$

Massive spin-2

- Frame-like gauge invariant formalism is given by the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}_0 = & \frac{1}{2} \hat{E}_{ab} \Omega^a{}_c \Omega^{bc} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{E}_{abc} \Omega^{ab} Df^c + \frac{1}{2} B_{ab} B^{ab} \\
 & - \hat{E}_{ab} B^{ab} DA - \frac{1}{3} \pi_a \pi^a + \frac{2}{3} \hat{e}_a \pi^a D\sigma \\
 & + m \hat{E}_{ab} \Omega^{ab} A + m \hat{e}_a B^{ab} f_b - 2m \hat{e}_a \pi^a A \\
 & + \frac{m^2}{2} \hat{E}_{ab} f^a f^b - m^2 \hat{e}_a f^a \sigma + \frac{2m^2}{3} \sigma^2
 \end{aligned}$$

- which is invariant under the following gauge transformations

$$\delta \Omega^{ab} = D\eta^{ab} - \frac{m^2}{2} e^{[a} \xi^{b]}$$

$$\delta f^a = D\xi^a - e_b \eta^{ab} + m e^a \xi$$

$$\delta B^{ab} = -m \eta^{ab}, \quad \delta A = D\xi + \frac{m}{2} e_a \xi^a$$

$$\delta \pi^a = -\frac{3m^2}{2} \xi^a, \quad \delta \sigma = 3m \xi$$

Massive spin-3/2

- Frame-like gauge invariant description requires one-form Φ (gravitino) and zero-form ϕ (goldstino)
- The Lagrangian has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 = & -\frac{i}{2} \hat{E}_{abc} \bar{\Phi} \Gamma^{abc} D\Phi + \frac{i}{2} \hat{e}_a \bar{\Phi} \gamma^a D\phi \\ & -\frac{3m_1}{2} \hat{E}_{ab} \bar{\Phi} \Gamma^{ab} \Phi + 3im_1 \hat{e}_a \bar{\Phi} \gamma^a \phi - m_1 \bar{\phi} \phi \end{aligned}$$

- and is invariant under the following gauge transformations

$$\delta\Phi = D\zeta + \frac{im_1}{2} e_a \gamma^a \zeta, \quad \delta\phi = 3m_1 \zeta$$

Minimal cubic vertices

- Massive dRGT gravity can be considered as a smooth deformation of the usual massless gravity

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0(g_{\mu\nu}) - V(g_{\mu\nu}, \eta_{\mu\nu})$$

- An interesting question — are there models that can be considered as smooth deformations of usual (spontaneously broken) supergravity as well as their bigravity versions?
- The first step is to consider cubic vertices for massive spin-2 and massive and/or massless spin-3/2 having no more than one derivative.

Cubic vertex

- The cubic vertex exists and has only one independent coupling constant c_1
- Terms without derivatives

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_1 = 2m_1 c_1 \hat{E}_{abc} \bar{\Phi} \Gamma^{ab} \Phi f^c + \dots$$

- The limit $m_1 \rightarrow 0$ is singular while the limit $m \rightarrow 0$ is smooth
- Corrections

$$\delta_1 f^a = -4ic_1 \bar{\Phi} \gamma^a \zeta, \quad \delta_1 A = -\frac{imc_1}{3m_1} \bar{\phi} e_a \gamma^a \zeta, \quad \delta_1 \sigma = -\frac{2c_1}{3} \bar{\phi} \zeta$$

$$\delta_1 \Phi = -\frac{c_1}{3} [\Gamma^{ab} \Omega^{ab} \zeta + 2im_1 \gamma^a f^a \zeta + 2mc_1 A \zeta + \frac{2im_1}{3} e^a \gamma^a \zeta \sigma]$$

$$\delta_1 \phi = \frac{c_1}{3} \left[\frac{m}{m_1} \Gamma^{ab} B^{ab} \zeta + \frac{4i}{3} \gamma^a \pi^a \zeta - \frac{2(m^2 - 2m_1^2)}{m_1} \zeta \sigma \right]$$

Algebraic structure

- All our fields are either gauge or Stueckelberg ones so even at this order we may consider commutators of bosonic and/or supertransformations
- For the bosonic fields

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2]f^a = D\tilde{\xi}^a - \tilde{\eta}^{ab}e_b, \quad [\delta_1, \delta_2]A = \frac{m}{2}e_a\tilde{\xi}^a, \quad [\delta_1, \delta_2]\sigma = 0$$

$$\tilde{\xi}^a = 4ic_1(\bar{\zeta}_2\gamma^a\zeta_1), \quad \tilde{\eta}^{ab} = 4m_1c_1(\bar{\zeta}_2\Gamma^{ab}\zeta_1), \quad \tilde{\xi} = 0$$

- For the fermionic fields

$$[\delta_B, \delta_\zeta]\Phi = (D + \frac{im_1}{2}e_a\gamma^a)\tilde{\zeta}, \quad [\delta_B, \delta_\zeta]\phi = 3m_1\tilde{\zeta}$$

$$\tilde{\zeta} = -\frac{c_1}{3}(\Gamma^{ab}\eta^{ab}\zeta) - \frac{2im_1c_1}{3}(\gamma^a\xi^a\zeta) - \frac{2mc_1}{3}(\xi\zeta)$$

Cubic vertex

- Such vertex also exists but for the equal masses

$$m = m_1$$

- It also has one independent coupling constant
- Lagrangian, corrections and algebraic structure can be obtained from the general case with three independent masses m, m_1, m_2 in the limit where $m_2 \rightarrow 0$ and $m_1 \rightarrow m$

Cubic vertex

- Vertex exists for arbitrary non-zero values for the three masses m, m_1, m_2 with quite complicated dependencies on these masses
- There are two non-singular massless limits
 - ▶ the limit $m_2 \rightarrow 0$ that requires $m_1 \rightarrow m$
 - ▶ the limit $m \rightarrow 0$ requires $m_1 \rightarrow m_2$
- Corrections for the supertransformations have the same general pattern: terms coming from the initial massless supermultiplets plus low derivative terms

Algebraic structure

- Commutators of two supertransformations on the bosonic fields produce

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2]f^a = D\tilde{\xi}^a - \tilde{\eta}^{ab}e_b + me^a\tilde{\xi}$$

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2]A = D\tilde{\xi} + \frac{m}{2}e_a\tilde{\xi}^a, \quad [\delta_1, \delta_2]\sigma = 3m\tilde{\xi}$$

$$\tilde{\xi}^a = 6ic_1(\bar{\zeta}_2\gamma^a\zeta_1), \quad \tilde{\eta}^{ab} = 3(m_1 + m_2)c_1(\bar{\zeta}_2\Gamma^{ab}\zeta_1)$$

$$\tilde{\xi} = -\frac{3(m_1 - m_2)c_1}{m}(\bar{\zeta}_2\zeta_1)$$

- while commutators of the bosonic and supertransformations for the first fermion give

$$[\delta_B, \delta_\zeta]\Phi = (D + \frac{im_1}{2}e_a\gamma^a)\tilde{\zeta}_1, \quad [\delta_B, \delta_\zeta]\phi = 3m_1\tilde{\zeta}_1$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_1 = -\frac{c_1}{2}(\Gamma^{ab}\eta^{ab}\zeta_2) - im_1c_1(\gamma^a\zeta^a\zeta_2) - \frac{(m^2 - 2m_1^2 + 2m_2^2)c_1}{m}(\xi\zeta_2)$$

Conclusion

- For the spontaneously broken supergravity the cubic vertex for massless spin-2 f^a and massive spin-3/2 (Φ, ϕ) is universal and does not depend on the presence of any other fields in the system.
- Beyond cubic order there are two main possibilities
 - ▶ non-linear realisation a la Volkov-Akulov
 - ▶ linear realisation where each field is a member of some supermultiplet
- The same holds for the massive supergravities. For example the vertex for massive spin-2 and massive and massless spin-3/2 must corresponds to bigravity:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3/2 \\ 3/2 \end{array} \right) \oplus \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & \\ 3/2 & 3/2 \\ 1 & \end{array} \right)$$